

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICK SLAUGHTER,
Petitioner,

v.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA,
Respondent.

Case No. 16-CV-07118 LHK (PR)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On December 14, 2016, petitioner, proceeding *pro se*, filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. That same day, the court sent a notification to petitioner, informing him that he did not pay the filing fee, nor did he file a complete application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”). The court provided petitioner with a blank IFP application, along with a return envelope, and a notification that the case would be dismissed if petitioner failed to pay the fee, or file a completed application with supporting documentation within twenty-eight days. More than twenty-eight days have passed, and petitioner has not paid the filing fee. Petitioner also has not filed a completed application to proceed IFP.

Thus, the instant action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. The clerk shall enter judgment

Case No. 16-CV-07118 LHK (PR)
ORDER OF DISMISSAL

1 and close the file.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3
4 DATED: 02/08/2017


LUCY H. KOH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court
Northern District of California

26 Case No. 16-CV-07118 LHK (PR)
27 ORDER OF DISMISSAL
28